ANWARUL ULOOM COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Questionnaire 1 Course Feedback (2019-20)

Programme / Course: Examples: BBA/B.Com./B.A./ B.Sc. * BSC BZC	
Department * Botany	
Year *	
First YearSecond YearThird Year	

Semester *	·
1st Semester	
2nd Semester	
3rd Semester	
4th Semester	
5th Semester	
O 6th Semester	
Depth of the Course content including project work if	f any *
Depth of the Course content including project work if Excellent	f any *
	f any *
Excellent	f any *
ExcellentVery Good	f any *
ExcellentVery GoodGood	f any *

2.	Extent of coverage of course *
•	Excellent
0	Very Good
0	Good
0	Satisfactory
0	Poor
_	
3.	Applicability / Relevance to real life situations *
3.	Applicability / Relevance to real life situations * Excellent
	Excellent
	Excellent Very Good
	Excellent Very Good Good

 Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and * broadening perspectives)
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

6. Relevance of additional source material (Library) *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
7. Extent of effort required by students *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
8. Overall rating *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

Suggestions, if any

Our lecture are best hee giving bast knoladge

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

ANWARUL ULOOM COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Questionnaire 1 Course Feedback (2019-20)

Programme / Course: Examples: BBA/B.Com./B.A./ B.Sc. * BSC	
Department * Chemistry	
Year *	
First YearSecond YearThird Year	

	,,,,,,
Semester *	
1st Semester	
2nd Semester	
3rd Semester	
4th Semester	
5th Semester	
6th Semester	
Depth of the Course	e content including project work if any *
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Satisfactory	
Poor	

2.	Extent of coverage of course *
O	Excellent
0	Very Good
0	Good
0	Satisfactory
0	Poor
3.	Applicability / Relevance to real life situations *
3.	Applicability / Relevance to real life situations * Excellent
	Excellent
	Excellent Very Good
	Excellent Very Good Good

 Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

6. Relevance of additional source material (Library) *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
7. Extent of effort required by students *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
8. Overall rating *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

Suggestions, if any	

ANWARUL ULOOM COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Questionnaire 1 Course Feedback (2019-20)

Programme / Course: Examples: BBA/B.Com./B.A./ B.Sc. * B.Sc	
Department * Chemistry	
Year *	
First YearSecond YearThird Year	

Semester *	
1st Semester	
2nd Semester	
3rd Semester	
4th Semester	
5th Semester	
O 6th Semester	
Depth of the Course content including project work if any	y *
Depth of the Course content including project work if an Excellent	y *
	y *
Excellent	y *
ExcellentVery Good	y *
ExcellentVery GoodGood	y *

2. Extent of coverage of course *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
3. Applicability / Relevance to real life situations *
3. Applicability / Relevance to real life situations * Excellent
Excellent
ExcellentVery Good
ExcellentVery GoodGood

 Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

6. Relevance of additional source material (Library) *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
7. Extent of effort required by students *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
8. Overall rating *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

Suggestions, if any	

ANWARUL ULOOM COLLEGE (Autonomous)

Questionnaire No. 2: Student Feedback on Teachers (Academic Year: 2019-20)

Department * Botany
Year *
First YearSecond YearFinal Year
Semester *
First YearSecond YearFinal Year
Name of the Teacher * Hafsa,Ruksana medams

1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
2. Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility) *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
3. Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

4. Interest generated by the teacher *	
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Satisfactory	
Poor	
5. Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issues, to provide a broader perspective	*
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Satisfactory	
Poor	

6.	Ability to integrated content with other courses *
•	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Satisfactory
\bigcirc	Poor
7. mot	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to *tivate further study and discussion outside class)
•	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
0	Good
\bigcirc	Satisfactory
\bigcirc	Poor

8. Ability to design quizzes/ Test/ assignments/ examinations and projects to evaluate * students understanding of the course
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
9. Provision of sufficient time for feedback *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

10. Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Satisfactory	
Poor	

ANWARUL ULOOM COLLEGE (Autonomous)

Questionnaire No. 2: Student Feedback on Teachers (Academic Year: 2019-20)

Department *	
Nzc	
Y 1	
Year *	
First Year	
Second Year	
Final Year	
Tindi real	
Semester *	
First Year	
Second Year	
Final Year	
Name of the Teacher *	
Maliha miss	

1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
2. Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility) *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
3. Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

4. Interest generated by the teacher *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
 Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issues, to provide a broader * perspective
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
OPoor

6. Ability to integrated content with other courses *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to * motivate further study and discussion outside class)
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

8. Ability to design quizzes/ Test/ assignments/ examinations and projects to evaluate * students understanding of the course
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
9. Provision of sufficient time for feedback *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

10. Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Satisfactory	
Poor	

ANWARUL ULOOM COLLEGE (Autonomous)

Questionnaire No. 2: Student Feedback on Teachers (Academic Year: 2019-20)

Department * Chemistry
Year *
First YearSecond YearFinal Year
Semester *
First YearSecond YearFinal Year
Name of the Teacher * Sameena , haji , sir & medam

1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
2. Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility) *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
3. Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

4. Interest generated by the teacher *	
Excellent	
○ Very Good	
Good	
Satisfactory	
Poor	
5. Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issues, to provide a broader perspective	*
Excellent	
○ Very Good	
Good	
Satisfactory	
Poor	

6.	Ability to integrated content with other courses *
•	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Satisfactory
\bigcirc	Poor
7. mot	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to *tivate further study and discussion outside class)
•	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
0	Good
\bigcirc	Satisfactory
\bigcirc	Poor

8. Ability to design quizzes/ Test/ assignments/ examinations and projects to evaluate * students understanding of the course
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor
9. Provision of sufficient time for feedback *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Satisfactory
Poor

10. Overall rating *		
Excellent		
Very Good		
Good		
Satisfactory		
Poor		